

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/964,713	09/27/2001	William C. Norris	IN-5383	1861		
26922	7590 03/26/2003					
	BASF CORPORATION			EXAMINER		
ANNE GERRY SABOURIN 26701 TELEGRAPH ROAD			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS			
SOUTHFIEL	LD, MI 48034-2442		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1714	10		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	7		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		09/964,713		NORRIS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
		Patrick D. Nilar		1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 /	2002							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ 0									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)□ Tr	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) □	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
					annlication)				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)		priority unider 3	5 5.5.5. 33 120 a	nu/VI 121.					
2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>8</u> .	4)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trader PTO-326 (Rev. 0		n Summary		Part of Pa	aper No. 10				

Application No.

Art Unit: 1714

The amendment of 1/7/03 has been entered. Claims 1-61 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/17475 Johnson in combination with US Pat. No. 5601878 Kranig et al..

Johnson discloses the instantly claimed color effect providing pigments. They inorganic coating thereon will necessarily have the instantly claimed index of refraction because the coatings are the same as those of the instant claims. Johnson differs from the instantly claimed invention in that he does not disclose the pigment's use in powder coatings, as required by the instant claims. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed pigments in the instantly claimed powder coatings to achieve the color effects of the instantly claimed pigments because Johnson describes the effects of the instantly claimed pigments and these same effects would have been expected in a coating film obtained by a powder coating because pigments give similar effects whether used in powder, dispersion, or solution coatings and pigmenting powder coatings with conventional pigments, including metallic flakes is well known, as shown by the claims of Kranig et al. The applicant has not shown the additional ingredients of the reference to materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the composition. It is therefore not seen that "consisting essentially of" excludes anything from the

Application/Control Number: 09/964713 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

composition of the reference. See In re Janakirama-Rao, 317 F 2d 951, 137 USPQ 893 (CCPA 1963) and Ex parte Davis et al., 80 USPQ 448 (PTO Bd. App. 1948). In addition, the claims ultimately recite "comprising" and therefore encompasses any additional ingredients of the patentee. The applicant has given no probative evidence that the pigments of the patetee do not fall within the scope of those of the instant claims. No unexpected results, commensurate in scope with the instant claims and the cited prior art, are seen relating to the use of powder coating as the binder for the instantly discussed pigments.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Niland whose telephone number is (703) 308-3510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/964713

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

pn

March 24, 2003

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

Page 4